

# **Burlington - Wray** 230-kilovolt Transmission Project

## **MACRO CORRIDOR STUDY**

January 2012



Submitted By:



Prepared By:



### CONTENTS

1.0	INTR	ODUCT	ION		1	
	1.1	Descri	ption of Ti	i-State Generation and Transmission Association	1	
	1.2	Descri	ption of the Rural Utilities Service			
	1.3	Project	t Descripti	On	2	
		1.3.1	Right-of	Way Considerations	3	
		1.3.2	Proposed	Structures	3	
2.0	MAC	RO COR	RIDOR S	ГUDY	7	
	2.1	Definit	tion of the	Study Area	7	
	2.2	Data C	collection and Evaluation1			
	2.3	Opport	tunities and	unities and Constraints Analysis		
		2.3.1	Land Use	e and Jurisdiction	19	
			2.3.1.1	Land Cover and Surface Water	19	
			2.3.1.2	Residential Areas	19	
			2.3.1.3	Schools, Hospitals, Nursing Homes, Churches, Cemeteries,		
				Commercial Businesses	20	
			2.3.1.4	Aviation Facilities	25	
			2.3.1.5	Communication and Radio Towers	26	
			2.3.1.6	Oil and Gas Development Infrastructure	29	
			2.3.1.7	Wind Energy Facilities	29	
			2.3.1.8	Agricultural Land	29	
			2.3.1.9	Recreation Areas	29	
			2.3.1.10	State Wildlife Areas	29	
			2.3.1.11	Wetland Reserve Program Areas (Federal)	29	
			2.3.1.12	Municipal Boundaries	35	
		2.3.2	Existing	Linear Transportation And Utility Corridors	35	
			2.3.2.1	Highways/Roads	35	
			2.3.2.2	Pipeline Rights of Way	35	
			2.3.2.3	Existing Utility Corridors	35	
		2.3.3	Cultural	And Historic Resources	38	
		<ul><li>2.3.4 Terrai</li><li>2.3.5 Wildli</li></ul>			39	
				Resources	39	
			2.3.5.1	Threatened and Endangered Species	39	
			2.3.5.2	Amphibians	45	
			2.3.5.3	Birds	45	
			2.3.5.4	Fish	46	
			2.3.5.5	Mammals	46	
			2.3.5.6	Reptiles	47	
		2.3.6	Game Sp	ecies	47	
			2.3.6.1	Birds	47	
			2.3.6.2	Mammals	47	

	2.4 Preliminary Alternative Corridor Identification			
		2.4.1 Modification of Preliminary Alternative Corridors Following the		
		Public Informational Meetings	48	
3.0	FUTU	RE ACTIVITIES	74	
	3.1	Route Identification and Comparative Analysis	75	
	3.2	Field Reconnaissance and Identification of Route-Specific Constraints	75	
	3.3	Public and Stakeholder Involvement	76	
	3.4	Permits and Approvals	76	
	3.5	NEPA Process	77	
4.0	MEET	INGS AND CONSULTATIONS HELD TO DATE	79	
5.0	REFE	RENCES	81	

### TABLES

Table 1-1	Transmission Structure Design Components	3
Table 2-1	Routing Opportunities	13
Table 2-2	Routing Constraints	13
Table 2-3	FAA-registered Public Airfields in the Study Area	25
Table 2-4	FAA-registered Private Airstrips in the Study Area	25
Table 2-5	Federally and State-Listed Species In Kit Carson And Yuma Counties	40
Table 2-6	Corridor Descriptions	49
Table 3-1	Major Permits, Approvals, and Consultations for the Project	77

### FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Proposed Tangent Transmission Structure	4
Figure 2-1	Study Area	9
Figure 2-2	Composite Map	17
Figure 2-3	Land Cover and Surface Water	21
Figure 2-4	Existing Infrastructure	22
Figure 2-5	Transportation and Communication Facilities	27
Figure 2-6	Agricultural Land	31
Figure 2-7	Land Jurisdiction	33
Figure 2-8	Existing Electrical Transmission Facilities and Terrain	37
Figure 2-9	Bald Eagle, Greater Prairie Cchicken & Great Blue Heron Habitat	41
Figure 2-10	Game Species	43
Figure 2-11	Corridor Additions, Expansions, and Eliminations	71
Figure 2-12	Revised Corridors	73

### APPENDICES

A GIS Data Sources

### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AE	Alternative Evaluation
AM	amplitude modulation
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee
ACSR	Aluminum conductor steel reinforced
CDNR	Colorado Department of Natural Resources
CDOT	Colorado Department of Transportation
CDOW	Colorado Division of Wildlife
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
CNHP	Colorado Natural Heritage Program
CPCN	Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
CR	County Road
DORA	Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FCC	Federal Communications Commission
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FM	frequency modulation
GIS	geographic information system
GNIS	Geographic Names Information System
kcmil	thousand circular mils
kV	kilovolt
MCS	Macro Corridor Study

MVA	megavolt amperes
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NGO	non-governmental organization
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NPS	National Park Service
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
NWP	Nationwide Permit (USACE)
РСА	Potential Conservation Area
Project	Burlington-Wray 230-kV Transmission Project
CPUC	Colorado Public Utilities Commission
ROW	right-of-way
RUS	Rural Utilities Service
SH	State Highway
SWA	State Wildlife Area
Tri-State	Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc.
U.S.	United States
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey

This page intentionally left blank

•

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State) is proposing the Burlington-Wray 230-kilovolt (kV) Transmission Project (Project), which would involve the construction of approximately 55-70 miles of a new 230-kV transmission line from the existing Burlington Substation near Burlington, Colorado, in Kit Carson County, to the existing Wray Substation near Wray, Colorado, in Yuma County. The purpose of the Project is to alleviate transmission system limitations in eastern Colorado, improve Tri-State's ability to dispatch existing generation resources in eastern Colorado, and improve Tri-State's ability to deliver energy to native load customers<sup>1</sup>. The Project also will accommodate the integration of future electric generation resources in eastern Colorado, including renewable energy resources, through the increased capacity of the electric transmission system. Tri-State's members (K.C. Electric Association and Y-W Electric Association, Inc.) provide retail service to end-use consumers in the Study Area. The specific facts explaining the purpose of, and need for, the Project are set forth in the Alternative Evaluation (AE)<sup>2</sup> prepared for the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Utilities Service (RUS) in conjunction with this Macro Corridor Study (MCS) document as described in Section 1.2 below, as well as Tri-State's application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN), submitted to the Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies (DORA) - Public Utilities Commission (PUC). Tri-State received a CPCN for the Project on January 12, 2011<sup>3</sup>.

The purpose of this Macro Corridor Study (MCS) is to identify preliminary alternative transmission line corridors for the Project. The MCS describes the process used to identify the preliminary alternative transmission line corridors, divided into four steps:

- 1) Definition of the Study Area
- 2) Data Collection and Evaluation
- 3) Opportunities and Constraints Analysis
- 4) Preliminary Alternative Corridor Identification

Each step is described in more detail in Sections 2. Section 3 describes future activities in the process, including future routing activities and compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

#### 1.1 DESCRIPTION OF TRI-STATE GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION ASSOCIATION

Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State) is a wholesale electric supplier owned by the 44 member distribution systems that it serves. Tri-State is engaged in the generation, transmission,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Native load customers are the wholesale and retail power customers as defined in Tri-State's Open Access Transmission Tariff, available at http://www.oatioasis.com/TSGT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The AE for this Project is available online at <u>http://www.tristategt.org/Transmission/Burlington-Wray.cfm</u> and at <u>http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/UWP-ea.htm</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For more information on Tri-State's CPCN, enter docket number 10A-906E at the following link: https://www.dora.state.co.us/pls/efi/EFI\_Search\_UI.Search).

and sale of electric energy and capacity to its members throughout a 200,000-square-mile service territory across Colorado, New Mexico, Wyoming, and Nebraska. Tri-State owns interests in electric generating facilities in the states of Colorado, Wyoming, New Mexico, and Arizona, and it owns transmission facilities in the states of Colorado, New Mexico, Wyoming, and Nebraska.

Tri-State, founded in 1952 by its original member systems, today serves more than 1.4 million customers via its cooperative members in four states. Its mission is to provide its members a reliable, cost-based supply of electricity while maintaining a sound financial position through effective use of human, capital, and physical resources in accordance with cooperative principles. For more information visit http://www.tristategt.org/.

### 1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

Tri-State is seeking funding for the Project from the RUS. RUS helps rural utilities expand and keep their infrastructure up-to-date by providing payments, grants, loans, and loan guarantees for the development and commercialization of vital utility services. RUS's programs revitalize rural communities with a variety of infrastructure improvements, and create sustainable opportunities for wealth, new jobs, and increased economic activity in rural America. RUS is a federal government entity and is required to evaluate environmental impacts of its actions pursuant to NEPA and the Council on Environmental Quality's implementing regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations 1500-1508). RUS guidance regarding NEPA implementation requires that an MCS and AE be prepared and accepted by RUS prior to the start of the official NEPA process.

#### **1.3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The Project will consist of the construction of a new single-circuit 230-kV electric transmission line between the existing Burlington and Wray Substations, both of which are owned by Tri-State. The Project will install a 230-kV transmission line that is approximately 55-70 miles long through eastern Colorado, and will be electrically parallel to the existing Burlington – Bonny Creek – South Fork – Idalia – Vernon Tap – Wray 115-kV transmission line. The Project will be constructed using a single 1272 thousand circular mils (kcmil) ACSR conductor per phase with a maximum operating temperature of 100° Celsius. In addition, the project will require the installation of an overhead fiber optic wire that will be used for internal Tri-State communication needs. Upgrades in the form of new 230-kV equipment will also be required at the existing Burlington and Wray Substations to support the line.

As described in more detail in the AE, the existing 115-kV line is currently a weak link in Tri-State's eastern Colorado transmission system, and the 120 megavolt amperes (MVA) thermal limit of the existing Burlington-Wray 115-kV line limits the operation of the existing generation in the region. The capacity across the line also limits the ability to deliver energy to serve the electrical demand in the region. This existing line could be up-rated from 120 MVA to 140 MVA to improve the load-serving capabilities, by correcting certain terminal equipment limitations, but this would not be sufficient to address the existing generation operation limitations and load serving capacity deficiencies. By adding the proposed Project, Tri-State will be able to correct these transmission deficiencies, and also accommodate the integration of

potential new renewable generation resources in the region. This Project is required to eliminate the existing limits to the operation of electrical generation in this service area and to provide reliable future load serving capability for Tri-State's member loads in eastern and southern Colorado, while also allowing for the addition of future generation resources. This Project is planned to begin construction in 2014, and expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2015, with an in-service date in late 2015.

#### **1.3.1 Right-of Way Considerations**

The new transmission line is proposed to be constructed with a right-of-way (ROW) 150 feet in width. Tri-State representatives will work with the landowners along the selected route to obtain the necessary land rights to allow access for surveying, construction, operation, and maintenance of the new transmission line. All ROW will be obtained by securing easements from the underlying landowner.

#### **1.3.2 Proposed Structures**

The typical design characteristics for the transmission structures proposed to be used for the new transmission line are listed in Table 1-1. A diagram of the proposed tangent transmission structure is presented in Figure 1-1. The tangent structure will comprise the vast majority of structures used in the line.

Design Component	Wooden H-Frame Structures
ROW Width	150 feet
Distance Between Structures	600-1,100 feet
Aboveground Structure Height	65-100 feet
Number of Structures per Mile	6-9
Ground Clearance Beneath Conductor at Maximum Operating Conditions	28 feet

#### **TABLE 1-1 TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE DESIGN COMPONENTS**



#### FIGURE 1-1 PROPOSED TANGENT TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE

A variety of H-Frame structures will be used, and they are typical, single circuit designs used at this voltage by Tri-State and other utilities in the region. These are low profile structures that are well-suited for single-circuit transmission lines that traverse open country and can accommodate midrange span lengths. The most common structure will be the H-frame (two poles) tangent structure depicted in Figure 1-1, but where the line changes direction or tensions, angle or dead-end structures will be used. These structures will typically have three poles, and will be supported by multiple guys. Additional types or special structures may be used as required.

The phase conductor will be placed in a horizontal configuration and two wires will be placed on the top of the structure to protect the transmission line from lightning strikes. One of the upper wires will be an overhead ground wire consisting of a minimum 3/8" high strength stranded steel wire. The other wire will be an optical ground wire containing 48 fibers and may be slightly larger than the overhead ground wire. The ground wire and the fiber optic wire will be designed so as to have similar sag characteristics under a specific loading condition. Because of the differing characteristics of the two upper wires, it is not possible to match the sag under all conditions, but the sag differential will be minor so as not to be

visibly intrusive. Tri-State uses the fiber optic cables for system reliability purposes including system protection, operations, and communications functions.

This page intentionally left blank.

### 2.0 MACRO CORRIDOR STUDY

The purpose of the MCS is to identify potential preliminary transmission line corridors between the existing Burlington Substation in Kit Carson County, Colorado and the existing Wray Substation in Yuma County, Colorado. These preliminary alternative corridors are an initial step in the process to identify a preferred route and alternative routes for the transmission line by maximizing routing opportunities and minimizing impacts to routing constraints. The sections below summarize the process that was used to identify preliminary alternative transmission line corridors.

For the Burlington-Wray 230-kV Transmission Project, four distinct steps were followed:

- 1) Definition of the Study Area
- 2) Data Collection and Evaluation
- 3) Opportunities and Constraints Analysis
- 4) Preliminary Alternative Corridor Identification

In addition, future activities (Route Identification and Comparative Analysis, Field Reconnaissance and Identification of Route-Specific Constraints, Public and Stakeholder Involvement, Permit Application, and NEPA compliance) are briefly outlined in Section 3.0.

Below is a list of common terms used throughout this report.

**Study Area** – the broad area analyzed for routing opportunities for the transmission line between the Burlington and Wray Substations.

**Corridor** – a strip of land identified within a Study Area which has the potential of being used for a portion of the line route based upon an evaluation of land uses and resources. Multiple potential corridors are identified to maximize routing options. Corridors often represent short portions of potential line routes and intersect with other potential corridors. Corridors were generally 1-mile-wide during preliminary planning.

**Route** – A complete path from one endpoint of a proposed transmission line to the other endpoint. Routes are composed of several line segments and the total route will begin and end at the identified substation dead end structures.

**Line Segment** – a portion of the route that has been defined within a certain corridor. The start and end points of a line segment are intersections (nodes) with other line segments within one or more corridors (at substations).

#### 2.1 DEFINITION OF THE STUDY AREA

The first step of the MCS involved identifying the geographic area in which the new transmission line would be located. The extent of a study area is determined primarily by the location of the endpoints of the project and a reasonable area around those endpoints within which to identify feasible transmission line routes.

The Burlington-Wray 230-kV Transmission Project Study Area was delineated based on the proposed interconnections at the existing Burlington and Wray Substations, which are owned and operated by Tri-State. The boundaries of the Study Area are influenced by the location of the existing substations, the engineering constraints for a line to enter or exit the substations, other existing ROW (i.e., associated with roads, highways, pipelines, existing or planned transmission lines, canals, etc.), and existing political and geographic boundaries. The objective in defining a Study Area is to limit the identification of alternative corridors to an area that would be feasible for construction of a transmission line relative to the length of line and cost of construction, and to identify an area large enough to provide the opportunity to identify alternative corridors that avoid constraints and minimize potential impacts.

The Project Study Area is generally defined as an area 18 miles in width (east to west) between these two substations in portions of Kit Carson and Yuma Counties. Figure 2-1 depicts the Project Study Area.



Project 230-kV Transmission n-Wray Burlingto This page left intentionally blank.

The second step of the MCS involved collecting resource data within the Study Area from relevant management agencies and state and local governments. Resource data obtained from municipalities, counties, state and federal agencies, and utilities were used to prepare geographic information system (GIS) based resource maps in the following categories:

- Land Cover and Surface Water
- Land Use and Jurisdiction
- Existing Linear Transportation and Utility Corridors
- Cultural and Historic Resources
- Biological Resources

Appendix A presents a list of GIS data resources. All data collected reflect information readily available from the resource and local, state, and federal agencies. No new field data were collected as part of the MCS. The resource data were mapped in GIS format and combined with aerial photography of the Study Area to identify suitable areas for routing the new transmission line. As described below, each resource was categorized as an opportunity (suitable area), an avoidance area, or an exclusion area. The opportunities were further categorized as greater opportunity (such as existing linear features, including roads, transmission corridors, railroads), opportunity (such compatible land uses, including rangeland and open land), and lower opportunity to reflect the potential the specific feature in the specific geographic setting (areas where specific constraints are absent, such as cropland). The following sections describe in more detail each set of resource data that was collected as part of this analysis.

#### 2.3 OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS ANALYSIS

For this Project, opportunities were identified as existing linear facilities or physical features providing suitable routing possibilities. These opportunities are generally characterized by the potential to be adjacent to an existing ROW or linear corridor. Examples of opportunities include existing transmission lines, other utility corridors, transportation corridors, compatible land uses, and other linear features such as property lines.

Constraints are resources, features, or land uses that present unfavorable attributes for locating and constructing a transmission line. Constraints include factors that would negatively affect site access, affect design or construction, or add additional licensing/permitting requirements. Routing constraints include items such as habitable structures, hospitals, schools, and sensitive areas such as wetlands, protected species' habitat, and cultural resources.

Once resource data were collected (as described in Section 2.2), a GIS model was created to illustrate those areas within the Study Area identified as opportunities, avoidance areas, and exclusion areas. Avoidance areas include sensitive areas that are likely to incur environmental impacts or result in land use conflicts if directly affected by the Project. It is preferable to avoid these areas if opportunity areas are available elsewhere. If a sensitive area cannot be completely avoided, impacts can be minimized through route refinement, careful placement of the transmission structures and access roads, seasonal restrictions

and other mitigation measures. Exclusion areas include locations with the highest level of sensitivity, including those areas with regulatory or legislative designations or extreme physical constraints not compatible with transmission line construction and/or operation. In general, locating a transmission line in these areas could result in increased environmental impacts, significantly higher costs, and/or additional regulatory approvals. Tables 2-1 and 2-2 summarize the routing opportunity and constraint criteria, respectively, that were developed for the Project. Figure 2-2 depicts a composite map of the opportunities and constraints identified in the Project Study area.

Major constraints within the Study Area included: 1) the Bonny Lake State Park and adjacent recreation area; 2) the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) designated wetland preservation area east of Wray; 3) the Wray Municipal Airport; 4) State Wildlife Areas (SWA), 5) the existing wind energy facility near Burlington; 6) areas with a high density of traveling irrigation systems; and 7) areas with a high density of oil and gas development.

The following subsections describe the resources evaluated as part of the opportunities and constraints analysis.

Resource	Opportunity Area (Optimize Use for Routing)	
Existing Transmission Lines	Within 0.25 miles of existing transmission line corridors (69-kV and above)	
Compatible Land Uses	Open land or rangeland, along edges of fields; federal or state land with existing disturbance and otherwise compatible use; designated energy corridors	
Roads (interstate, state, county)	Parallel to and within 0.25 miles of road, but not within road ROW	
Railroads	Parallel to and within 0.25 miles of railway, but not on railroad ROW	
Canals/Ditches	Parallel to and within 100 feet of a canal or ditch	

### TABLE 2-1 ROUTING OPPORTUNITIES

<b>TABLE 2-2</b>	<b>ROUTING CONS</b>	STRAINTS

Type of Constraint	Avoidance Area	<b>Exclusion Area</b>
Land Use and Jurisdiction		
Land cover and surface water	Developed, medium intensity; developed, high intensity; within boundary of emergent and woody wetlands; within 660 feet of perennial waterways and lakes: within floodplain	Open water; within 100 feet of perennial waterways, springs and lakes
Residences (identified at this time only as Existing Structures)	500 feet	100 feet
Cemeteries	250 feet	Within boundary
Commercial businesses*	250 feet	100 feet
Churches	500 feet	100 feet
Hospitals, nursing homes	1,320 feet (0.25 mile)	100 feet
Schools, kindergartens, nurseries (including registered day care facilities)	1,320 feet (0.25 mile)	100 feet
Municipal boundaries	Within incorporated or unincorporated municipal boundaries	
Private airstrips		5,000 feet or within FAA prescribed boundaries described

Type of Constraint	Avoidance Area	Exclusion Area
		in 14 CFR 77
FAA-registered airports	10,000 feet	7,000 feet or within FAA prescribed boundaries described in 14 CFR 77
Directional beacon (such as those used by the		2,000 ICCl
Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)]		1,320 feet (0.25 mile)
Radio transmitters	AM – 1,000 feet, FM – 500 feet	150 feet
Television transmitters		500 feet
Communication towers	Within 150 feet of Federal Communications Commission (FCC) structure	Within 50 feet of FCC structure
Cell phone towers		75 feet
Oil and gas wells		Generally, 75 feet from the transmission line centerline. All oil and gas facilities should be outside the transmission line ROW.
Wind energy turbines		Generally, 75 feet from the transmission line centerline. All turbines should be outside the transmission line ROW.
Federally designated lands not compatible with transmission lines – NRCS, Wetland Reserve Program		Within boundary
State Lands not compatible with transmission	Within boundaries of	Within boundary of
lines - State Parks, State Wildlife Area	State Wildlife Areas	State Parks
Municipal boundaries	Within incorporated or unincorporated municipal boundaries	
Agricultural Land		
Cropland	Farmland of statewide	Within mechanical

Type of Constraint	Avoidance Area	Exclusion Area		
	importance	irrigation footprint		
Animal feed lots		100 feet		
Historic and Archaeological Sites				
Recorded prehistoric/historical and archeological				
Sites	1,000 feet	100 feet		
Sites listed on the National Register of Historic				
Places-listed or determined eligible for listing	1,000 feet	100 feet		
Publicly-mapped historic cemeteries*	1,000 feet	100 feet		
State historic markers*	1,000 feet	100 feet		
Areas of high and moderate prehistoric and				
historic site potential*	1,000 feet	100 feet		
Biological Resources				
Greater prairie chicken production areas	Within boundary of			
	production area	Within 0.25 miles of		
		known active nests for		
Paptor habitat		most reptors [Colorado		
Rapior naonat		Division of Wildlife		
		(CDOW) 2008]		
		Within 0.5 mile of		
		active nests, active		
		winter night roosts.		
Bald eagle habitat		Also hunting perches		
		are determined site		
		specifically (CDOW		
		2008).		
		150 feet from March		
		15 to October 31.		
		Also efforts to		
Burrowing owl habitat		eradicate prairie dogs		
		should occur outside		
		this time period		
		(CDOW 2008).		
Great blue heron habitat	Nesting area			
Geology and Soils				
Slopes	Areas with greater than			
brohen (	15 percent slope			

\*None currently identified

\*\*Determination of structure type (i.e., house, barn, commercial businesses, garage, etc) has not been conducted yet

This page intentionally left blank.



Project Iransmission 230-KV - WFaV 2

This page intentionally left blank.

#### 2.3.1 Land Use and Jurisdiction

#### 2.3.1.1 Land Cover and Surface Water

Land cover describes general land use categories rather than specific designations. Figure 2-3 Land Cover and Surface Water depicts the land cover information that was obtained and the locations of the major waterways within the Study Area. Land use and land cover data were obtained from the USGS-National Land Cover Dataset (USGS-NLCD 2000).

Data on streams, creeks, rivers, canals, and ditches were collected from the USGS – National Hydrographic Dataset and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) (USGS 2011a). Wetlands typically support distinct communities of vegetative and wildlife species, along with providing flood control, sediment stabilization, erosion control, nutrient removal, groundwater recharge, and other important functions. The majority of NWI-mapped wetlands in the Study Area are small open water ponds, agricultural ponds, and other depression wetlands. The remaining vegetated wetlands are narrow riparian areas associated with ephemeral or perennial streams, which have areas of riparian plant species, but do not generally contain continuous wetland areas along the entire length of the channels. Figure 2-3 depicts the locations of the major waterways and wetland areas within the Study Area.

Generally, wetlands and surface waters can be avoided through careful pole placement and spanning the transmission line across wetland areas, as the maximum distance that can be spanned for the type of structures proposed for this Project is approximately 1,100 feet. Greater spans may be obtained by use of different types of materials and/or structures. To prevent construction-related disturbance, such as erosion, sedimentation, and potential water quality impacts, areas within 100 feet of lakes and perennial streams were considered exclusion areas, and areas within 660 feet (1/8 mile) of these features will be avoided to the extent feasible during routing. In addition, structure placement within wetland areas will be avoided when possible. Identification of the preferred and alternative corridors will avoid impact to wetlands to the extent feasible, and the corridors are sufficiently wide to allow for avoidance when more detailed routing occurs. If a significant amount of wetlands would be affected by the final line routing, wetlands surveys will be conducted prior to construction so that the transmission line can be routed to minimize impacts to these resources.

#### 2.3.1.2 Residential Areas

Structures identified on the aerial photography were digitized to aid in the routing of the transmission line. This information is depicted on Figure 2-4, Existing Infrastructure. The aerial photography used for this analysis is the most recent photography available from the National Imagery Program administered by the NRCS. Since field reconnaissance has not been conducted at this time, Figure 2-4 depicts structures, and does not differentiate between types of structures (residences, barns, sheds, abandoned, etc). Information concerning these structures will be developed further in the future, using visual verification of the status. Areas within 100 feet of an occupied residence are designated as exclusion areas, and areas within 500 feet of an occupied residence will be avoided during routing whenever possible. Although some residences are located within an identified corridor, generally the width of the identified corridors should allow for flexibility and avoidance of residences during more detailed routing.

#### 2.3.1.3 Schools, Hospitals, Nursing Homes, Churches, Cemeteries, Commercial Businesses

The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) provided GIS data on the location of schools, hospitals, and nursing homes (CDPHE 2011). Data on school and church locations were obtained from USGS "Seamless" GIS dataset (USGS 2011b). The locations of cemeteries were determined from the USGS geonames database and the Colorado Genealogy and History web site (Colorado GenWeb 2011). The locations of these facilities are depicted in Figure 2-4, Existing Infrastructure. The areas within 100 feet of each facility are designated as an exclusion area, and areas within 0.25 mile of the hospitals, nursing homes and schools are designated as avoidance areas. Churches were assigned an avoidance area of 500 feet and commercial businesses were assigned a 250 foot avoidance area.



Ject Pro Iransmission 230-KV n-Wray 

This page left intentionally blank.



1990 t 010 li o n 6 (7) Iransmi 30-KV 9 <u>n - Wra</u>

R:\Projects\GIS\_2011\183030\_Tri\_State\_COWXD\MCS\012012\F-2-4\_Incompatible\_Infrastrcuture4.mxd

This page left intentionally blank.

#### 2.3.1.4 Aviation Facilities

Information on airports was obtained from the FAA (FAA 2011). The FAA regulates the proximity of tall structures to approach and departure zones associated with airport runways. The runway glide-paths out to 10,000 feet of a public airport and 5,000 feet of a private airport were therefore excluded from potential locations for the Project to maintain ample clearance for aircraft. The only exception to this is near the Wray Municipal Airport where an existing transmission line already exists within 10,000 feet of the runway. In this area, the terrain where the transmission line is located is lower than the runway, allowing this area to be available for transmission lines and therefore, this area was not marked as an exclusion area. Table 2-3 summarizes information about the one FAA-registered public airfield in the Study Area.

Name	Wray Municipal
Latitude	40°06'01"N
Longitude	102°14'27''W
Runway Length	5400 feet
Elevation	3667 feet

#### TABLE 2-3 FAA-REGISTERED PUBLIC AIRFIELDS IN THE STUDY AREA

In addition to the public airfield, there is one FAA-registered private airstrip in the Study Area. Information regarding this airport is summarized in Table 2-4.

#### TABLE 2-4 FAA REGISTERED PRIVATE AIRSTRIPS IN THE STUDY AREA

Name	Whomble Airstrip #1
Latitude	40°01'17"N
Longitude	102°10'30"W
Runway Length	2100 feet (est.)
Elevation	3700 feet

The only heliport identified within the Study Area is at the Wray Community District Hospital. There is an indication on the USGS topography map that an airstrip once existed northwest of the Bonny Lake

Dam. Current aerial photos indicate that a small structure is present at the northwest end of the area; however, this area does not appear to be an active airstrip.

The Kit Carson County Airport, Hildebrandt, and Aviation Acres, (all near Burlington, Colorado) and Idler Brothers Airport (Idlers Field) near Kirk, Colorado, are all located outside the Study Area boundary. Figure 2-5, Transportation and Communication depicts the locations of aviation facilities in the Study Area.

### 2.3.1.5 Communication and Radio Towers

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) provided the locations of communication facilities within the Study Area. Communication facilities include television transmission towers, microwave towers, AM/FM radio towers, paging towers, and cellular telephone towers (FCC 2011).

Table 2-2 summarizes the avoidance and exclusion distances around the various types of television, radio, and communication towers in the Study Area. The locations of existing communication towers that have been identified are depicted on Figure 2-5, Transportation and Communication.



Project ansmission 230-X ra v 

R:\Projects\GIS\_2011\183030\_Tri\_State\_CO\MXD\MCS\012012\F-2-5\_Transpo\_Comms3.mxd

This page left intentionally blank.
# 2.3.1.6 Oil and Gas Development Infrastructure

Data concerning the locations of oil and gas wells were obtained from the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (2011). Oil and gas well sites occur over much of the Study Area. Publicly available oil and gas well locations are depicted in Figure 2-4. Well head compressors may be utilized on some well pads to assist with natural gas recovery. There are no publicly available GIS data available for pipeline compressor stations or natural gas treatment facilities within the Study Area. Areas within 75 feet of a well pad boundary or compressor station are exclusion areas.

# 2.3.1.7 Wind Energy Facilities

An existing wind farm development west of Burlington is identified on Figure 2-4. The boundary of the development was provided by the wind energy developer. The transmission line will be sited so that no existing wind turbines will occur within the transmission line ROW.

# 2.3.1.8 Agricultural Land

Agriculture is an important segment of the economy throughout the Study Area and eastern Colorado, and includes rangeland/pasture and cropland. Cropland is largely present along river corridors in the Study Area, and some land in this area is mechanically irrigated by commercial radial/pivotal or lateral movement watering systems. The irrigation pivots depicted were digitized from aerial photographs taken in 2011. Data regarding regions of prime farmland were obtained from the NRCS (2011). Figure 2-6, Agricultural Land Map, depicts the farmland of statewide importance, irrigated cropland, and the areas with soils that are considered prime farmland if irrigated.

Agricultural areas with center pivot irrigation were excluded to the extent feasible. Transmission lines can be routed along the edges of irrigated fields if necessary.

# 2.3.1.9 Recreation Areas

There is one major recreation area in the Study Area, Bonny Lake State Park. The park itself is an excluded area not considered for siting the new transmission line.

# 2.3.1.10 State Wildlife Areas

There are four designated SWAs in the Study Area, as depicted on Figure 2-7, Land Jurisdiction: Sandsage SWA, Stalker Lake SWA, Simmons SWA, South Republican SWA, and Willow Creek SWA. These areas provide wildlife recreation opportunities, such as deer hunting and fishing. These areas are avoidance areas for siting the new transmission line.

# 2.3.1.11 Wetland Reserve Program Areas (Federal)

There is only one parcel of Federal land in the Study Area, a NRCS Wetland Reserve Program parcel east of Wray. This NRCS land is depicted on Figure 2-7, Land Jurisdiction. This area is an excluded area not considered for siting the new transmission line.



lect **P r 0** Iransmission <u>230-KV</u> <u>n-Wray</u>



R:\Projects\GIS\_2011\183030\_Tri\_State\_COWXD\MCS\012012\F-2-7\_Land\_Jurisdiction3.mxd

#### 2.3.1.12 Municipal Boundaries

Areas within municipality boundaries (City of Wray) are avoidance areas; however, municipal boundaries are presently excluded from any of the proposed preliminary corridors for siting the new transmission line. Land jurisdiction is depicted on Figure 2-7.

### 2.3.2 Existing Linear Transportation And Utility Corridors

The existing transportation system in the region is an extensive system of county roads, and U.S. and State Highways. The roadways in the Study Area are depicted on Figure 2-5, Transportation and Communication.

Major roads, natural gas pipelines and transmission lines were identified and mapped as possible routing opportunities. Highways in the Study Area were generated from ESRI "Highways" shapefiles, while county and local roads were generated from County Road GIS files. There is only one active railroad line (Burlington Northern Santa Fe) within the Study Area, and this line extends generally east to west through Wray, Colorado, generally parallel to Colorado State Highway (SH) 34.

### 2.3.2.1 Highways/Roads

The primary opportunity is along U.S. Highway 385 which extends generally north-south between Burlington and Wray. SHs 36 and 34 cross west to east and offer very little opportunities for paralleling with a line extending between Burlington and Wray. There are several county roads located in both Kit Carson and Yuma Counties that could offer opportunities for a route to essentially parallel adjacent to the existing roadway. All of the roads within the Study Area are identified on Figure 2-5.

There are no designated scenic byways identified within the Study Area.

# 2.3.2.2 Pipeline Rights of Way

Pipeline locations are not publicly available for security reasons. There are apparent pipelines that cross portions of the Study Area in a northwest-southeast direction. This was identified using the aerial photography. There is also a proposed water pipeline being developed in the northeastern portion of Study Area.

Two major pipelines in the Study Area are depicted on Figure 2-4, Existing Infrastructure. The location of these pipelines was inferred from the available aerial photography of the Study Area.

# 2.3.2.3 Existing Electric Utility Corridors

The existing electric transmission lines (69-kV and above) and the significant terrain features identified in the Study Area are depicted on Figure 2-8 Existing Electrical Transmission Facilities and Terrain.

Existing transmission lines, in cases where the existing land use is appropriate and adequate space is available, provide opportunities for routing the new line within or adjacent to existing ROWs. Locating the new line along existing lines could potentially reduce impacts associated with the construction,

operation, and maintenance of the line. In general, existing transmission lines provide an opportunity corridor.

The existing transmission lines in the Study Area are identified on Figure 2-8. There is an existing line (115-kV) extending between the Burlington and Wray substations and connecting the intermediate substations – Bonny Creek, Hale and South Fork, Idalia, and Vernon tap. Any of these existing transmission line ROWs present an opportunity for construction of essentially adjacent parallel transmission lines within 0.5 miles, and are considered opportunities for locating the Project.



Projeci Iransmission 230-KV n-Wray

# 2.3.3 Cultural And Historic Resources

A search was performed for sites of historic or archeological importance within the Study Area, using the National Park Service's National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) database (2011). This search identified a total of 127 sites, including 15 Centennial farms within the Study Area and several dwellings and homesteads, of which a few are designated as eligible for listing on the NRHP. Of the sites identified, six are listed as eligible for listing and two sites are listed, including the Walter and Anna Zion Homestead and the Beecher Island Battleground. The Vernon School – Vernon Community Center is listed on the State register. The other sites designated as eligible include a church, two late prehistoric camps, two homesteads, and a portion of the Chicago, Burlington and Quincy to Denver Railroad. Table 2-2 summarizes avoidance and exclusion distances for different types of cultural resources. Although locations of previously recorded archaeological sites were identified in the Study Area, these below-ground resources were not mapped as this information is sensitive and not publicly available.

#### 2.3.4 Terrain

Steep slope and loose soil can create difficult conditions for transmission line installation and maintenance. Areas of steep terrain are small within the Study Area and are identified on Figure 2-8.

### 2.3.5 Wildlife Resources

## 2.3.5.1 Threatened and Endangered Species

A comprehensive literature review was conducted to identify federally and state-listed species of concern that could potentially be affected by the Project. These species included those that are listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), those that are afforded state regulatory protection, and those of special concern without regulatory protection. The literature review included informal consultations with the USFWS and the Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW), data from the CDOW, and aerial photo interpretation of potential habitats within the Study Area. A list of species protected at the federal and/or state level that could potentially occur within the Study Area is provided as Table 2-5.

Information concerning habitat areas for the all the species listed in Table 2-5 was not readily available; species-specific habitats present in the Study Area will be assessed in a later phase of the Project when biological surveys occur. Available habitat area information was mapped and is presented in Figure 2-9 Bald Eagle, Greater Prairie Chicken, and Great Blue Heron Habitat, and Figure 2-10, Game Species. A brief discussion of each protected species that could potentially occur within the Study Area based upon the results of the literature review, aerial photographic interpretation, and reconnaissance survey is presented below.

# TABLE 2-5 FEDERALLY AND STATE-LISTED SPECIES IN KIT CARSON AND YUMA COUNTIES

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing	State Listing	Listed in Kit Carson County	Listed in Yuma County						
	AMPHIBIANS										
Northern cricket frog	Acris crepitans	None	Special Concern		Х						
Northern leopard frog	Rana pipiens	None	Special Concern	X							
Plains leopard frog	Rana blairi	None	Special Concern		Х						
		BIRDS									
Bald Eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	None	Special Concern	X	Х						
Ferruginous Hawk	Buteo regalis	None	Special Concern	Х	Х						
Long-billed Curlew	Numenius americanus	None	Special Concern	Х	Х						
Mountain Plover	Charadrius montanus	Proposed	Special Concern	Х	Х						
Piping Plover	Charadrius melodus	None	Threatened	X							
Western Burrowing Owl	Athene cunicularia hypugaea	None	Threatened	Х	Х						
		FISH									
Brassy minnow	Hybognathus hankinsoni	None	Threatened		Х						
Plains minnow	Hybognathus placitus	None	Endangered		Х						
Plains orangethroat darter	Etheostoma spectabile	None	Special Concern	Х	Х						
Stonecat	Noturus flavus	None	Special Concern		Х						
Suckermouth minnow	Phenacobius mirabilis	None	Endangered		Х						
		MAMMAI	LS								
Black-tailed prairie dog	Cynomys ludovicianus	None	Special Concern	Х	Х						
Swift fox	Vulpes velox	None	Special Concern	Х	Х						
		REPTILE	S								
Common garter snake	Thamnophis sirtalis	None	Special Concern		X						
Yellow mud turtle	Kinosternon flavescens	None	Special Concern	X	X						



Projec Iransmission 30-KV 2 <u>n-Wray</u>



Project Iransmission 230-KV <u>n-Wray</u>

R:\Projects\GIS\_2011\183030\_Tri\_State\_COWXDWCS\012012\F2-10\_Game\_map.mxd

### 2.3.5.2 Amphibians

The three amphibian species (northern cricket frog, northern leopard frog, and plains leopard frog) listed in Table 2-5 are typically associated with ephemeral to permanent water sources (ponds, streams, irrigation canals) and hydrophytic to riparian vegetation (Hammerson 1999- Amphibians and Reptiles of Colorado). As depicted on Figure 2-3, Land Cover and Surface Water, ephemeral and perennial water sources are observed throughout the Study Area, thereby indicating that any one or all three of species could be present. However, since these three species are only listed as State Special Concern, there is no regulatory mandate protecting them. Areas within 660 feet of perennial waterways and lakes and within floodplain boundaries are avoidance areas, and areas within 100 feet of perennial waterways, springs and lakes and open water are exclusion areas, so it unlikely that the Project would significantly impact amphibian habitats.

### 2.3.5.3 Birds

### Bald Eagle

The Bald Eagle is a state-listed species of special concern. Bald Eagles are present year-round throughout Colorado as spring and fall migrants, breeders, or winter residents, and typically nest from October to July. Occasional transient Bald Eagles are more likely to forage within the Study Area than nest, but a nest would not be uncommon in the few mature riparian areas present within the Study Area. Demonstrated Bald Eagle habitat information was obtained from CDOW (2011) and mapped on Figure 2-9 (information on recorded nests was not mapped but has been requested from CDOW). For the purposes of the opportunities and constraints analysis, areas within 0.5-mile from active Bald Eagle nests are excluded.

#### Ferruginous Hawk

This species is listed as a state special concern species in both counties because of the major land transition from prairie dog towns to range and agricultural lands. This prairie dog-obligate species prefers grasslands with abundant prey, and could be considered a common species for this area. Areas within 0.25-mile of active nests for most raptors, including Ferruginous Hawks, will be excluded from siting consideration.

#### Long-billed Curlew

This grassland species prefers open areas and wide view and short (less than 1 foot) vegetation. It is likely that this species occurs in areas without sand sagebrush, and most of the Study Area should be considered preferred habitat. This state-listed species of special concern is known to occur in both counties. Habitat data for Long-billed Curlew was not available from CDOW's Natural Diversity Information Source FTP Server (CDOW 2011).

#### Mountain Plover

The Mountain Plover is proposed to be listed on the federal list, and is currently a state-listed species of special concern. Mountain Plovers are uncommon summer residents in the High Plains of eastern

Colorado. Mountain Plovers seek dry, disturbed, or intensively grazed, open flat tablelands that include heavily grazed areas near stock ponds, playa lakes, and prairie-dog towns. Based on aerial photograph, potential habitat for Mountain Plovers has been identified within the Study Area. Habitat data for Mountain Plover was not available from CDOW's Natural Diversity Information Source FTP Server (CDOW 2011).

#### Piping Plover

The piping plover is a state-listed threatened species that prefers alluvial beaches or sandbars free of vegetation. According to GIS data obtained from CDOW's Natural Diversity Information Source FTP Server, no piping plover habitat occurs in the Study Area (CDOW 2011).

#### Western Burrowing Owl

The Western Burrowing Owl is state-listed threatened species in both counties. Western Burrowing Owl habitat requirements include open grasslands, which are found in the Study Area. GIS data of western burrowing owl habitat was not available from the CDOW Natural Diversity Information Source FTP Server (CDOW 2011). The species sometimes occupies open areas such as vacant lots near human habitation or airports and are often associated with black-tailed prairie dog colonies. The owls can excavate their own burrows but prefer to use abandoned burrows of other animals, including black-tailed prairie dog. During breeding, the owls will enlarge a main nesting burrow but will maintain and utilize a number of smaller burrows. Resident pairs will keep the same territory throughout the breeding season. Since prairie dogs habitat includes the entire Study Area, it is possible that Western Burrowing Owls are present in the Study Area. Areas within 150 feet of owl burrows will be avoided during construction during the breeding season, from March 15 to October 31.

#### 2.3.5.4 Fish

Fish are unlikely to be impacted by the Project as open water areas are excluded from siting consideration.

#### 2.3.5.5 Mammals

#### Black-Tailed Prairie Dog

The black-tailed prairie dog is state-listed as a species of special concern. Black-tailed prairie dogs are found in dry, flat, short grasslands with low, relatively sparse vegetation, including areas overgrazed by cattle or vacant/disturbed parcels, and live in large family groups. Black-tailed prairie dogs are known to inhabit both counties in the Study Area, and their known habitat includes all of the Study Area. During site reconnaissance efforts, locations of prairie dog towns will be recorded, and these areas will be avoided to the extent practicable.

#### Swift fox

This state-listed species of special concern has been observed in both counties in the Study Area. This fox is typically observed in eastern Colorado, where the terrain is flat to gently rolling and has somewhat

native vegetation (e.g., blue grama and buffalograss). Since this species is typically observed with prairie dogs, which inhabit the Study Area, it is likely to assume this species resides within portions of the Study Area. The overall range of swift fox covers a large swath of the Study Area on either side of the South Fork of the Republican River and Arikaree River. For the purposes of the opportunities and constraints analysis, swift fox habitat was not categorized as avoidance or exclusion areas.

# 2.3.5.6 Reptiles

The common garter snake is state special concern species recorded in Yuma County, not Kit Carson County. This snake prefers riparian areas to complete its life cycle, which are located along ephemeral and perennial streams in the Study Area within Yuma County. The yellow mud turtle is a state special concern species that has been observed in both counties in the Study Area. This turtle prefers slow moving water (e.g., irrigation ditches, ponds) with muddy or sandy bottoms, and areas with aquatic vegetation. This preferred habitat exists in portions of the Study Area. Areas that include habitat for both of these species are avoidance and exclusion areas as discussed in Section 2.3.2.1; therefore, the Project is not likely to have significant impacts to these reptile species.

### 2.3.6 Game species

Several game species, both birds and mammals, can be observed in the Study Area. These species are more specifically discussed below.

# 2.3.6.1 Birds

Several species of game birds [mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*), ring-necked pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*), and greater prairie chickens] occur, and are hunted within the Study Area. Game birds, by their very definition, are those species whose populations can sustain hunting pressure. Greater prairie chickens used to be listed as a state endangered species from 1973 to 1993, at which time they were down-listed to state threatened until 1998, when they were delisted, and have been hunted for several years. These birds have production areas in the northern end of the Study Area, and just west of the Study Area, as depicted in Figure 2-9. Tours of the leks are a popular tourist attraction from late March through April, when the male birds are performing their courtship dances. Within the greater prairie chicken production area is an avoidance area for the purposes of siting the new transmission line.

#### 2.3.6.2 Mammals

Several species of mammals [pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*), mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*), and white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*)] occur and are hunted within the Study Area. Similar to game birds, these mammalian species' populations in eastern Colorado are large enough to sustain hunting pressure in addition to other causes of mortality. These species also shift to seasonal habitats throughout the Study Area, as they have differing winter ranges and winter concentration areas, as depicted in Figure 2-10. Game winter range and concentration areas were not designated as avoidance or exclusion areas.

# 2.4 PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVE CORRIDOR IDENTIFICATION

Identification of the alternative corridors is a detailed process that includes reviewing resource data, identifying routing opportunities and constraints, and consulting with local jurisdictions, public agencies, and reviewing input received from the public at public meetings and submitted through the Project's website. The opportunities and constraints Composite Map (Figure 2-2) was used to identify a number of preliminary alternative corridors. The corridors begin and end at logical termini or where one corridor branches off from another. These preliminary alternative corridors were presented at public Informational Meetings held in Burlington and Wray on September 20 and 21, 2011, respectively. Information gathered at these meetings, from consultation with public agencies, and from preliminary field reconnaissance (conducted in December 2011) then was used to refine the preliminary alternative corridors (it is the refined corridors that appear on Figures 2-2 through 2-10 in this MCS). Continued information gathering and stakeholder input will be used in future route selection.

Corridors primarily were identified based on areas of greatest opportunity that usually followed existing utility or corridors and section and property lines. Corridors are generally 1 mile wide. Some corridors are greater than 1 mile wide to incorporate more than one opportunity feature. In some cases, avoidance or exclusion areas fall within the identified corridor; however, the corridor width generally allows enough flexibility to identify 150-feet-wide routes that will avoid most constraints. A description of each of the preliminary alternative corridors is provided in Table 2-6.

# 2.4.1 Modification of Preliminary Alternative Corridors Following the Public Informational Meetings

Based on public review of the preliminary alternative corridors during public Informational Open House meetings, numerous verbal and written comments regarding individual preliminary alternative corridors were collected. All comments received on individual corridors were reviewed and evaluated and new corridors or revision of existing corridors were considered as appropriate. The review included project management, engineering, environmental, and land/real estate representatives. The changes that were implemented are summarized in Table 2-6 and each alternative corridor is depicted on Figure 2-11 to demonstrate the original preliminary alternative corridors and proposed new, eliminated, or revised alternative corridors. Figure 2-12 depicts the refined alignment of the alternative corridors.

# TABLE 2-6 CORRIDOR DESCRIPTIONS

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
Α	Eliminated				Corridor A was eliminated because of
					the constraints associated with the
					existing 230-kV line, the wind farm, and
D	Entine comiden	1 miles	1 miles: this is the	Includes approximately five	existing structures.
D	is parallel to US	4 lines	4 miles, uns is me	center pivot irrigation	Corridor did not change.
	385 and	existing 115-	of the Burlington	systems: constrained by the	
	southern 2 miles	kV line	Substation: mostly	location of the existing 115-	
	of corridor also	K V IIIIC	private land in	kV line: Beaver Creek turns	
	parallel County		cultivation: some	into an intermittent stream in	
	Road (CR) 50 in		shrubland,	the northernmost portion of	
	Kit Carson		grassland	the corridor	
	County				
С	3 miles adjacent		5.5 miles; this is	Includes one center pivot	Corridor did not change.
	to CR Z, and 3		the corridor due	irrigation system and areas	
	miles adjacent to		east of the	under active cultivation;	
	CR 53 in Kit		Burlington	includes a portion of a	
	Carson County		Substation; mostly	subdivision about one mile	
			private land in	east of the Burlington	
			cultivation; some	Substation; includes oil and	
			shrubland,	gas wells; includes parts of	
			grassland	the intermittent Little Beaver	
				Creek; includes four oil and	
				gas wells	

49

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
D	Eliminated				Corridor D was eliminated because of the lack of east-west roads
					(opportunities) and because it was no
					longer necessary to have a connector to
					eliminated.
Ε	Along CR BB in		2 miles; mostly	Includes 2.5 center pivot	Corridor did not change.
	Kit Carson		private land in	irrigation systems, areas	
	County		cultivation	under active cultivation, and	
				one existing oil well	
$\mathbf{F}$	Along CR 45		9.5 miles; mostly	Includes several parcels of	Corridor F no longer includes Section 3
	and CR 46 in		uncultivated	State land, crosses	(Township 7 South, Range 43 West) or
	Kit Carson		private land,	Landsman Creek and Bonny	Section 34 (Township 6 South, Range 43
	County		shrubland,	Creek; includes center pivot	West). These sections were constrained
			grassland; some	irrigation systems, and areas	by the presence of existing structures,
			irrigated cropland;	under active cultivation, and	center pivot irrigation, and other
			some State land	three oil and gas wells	irrigated cropland which presented
					significant challenges to routing a
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					transmission line through the area.
G	Eliminated.				Corridor G was eliminated. A new
					corridor (AC) was added south of the
					previous location of Corridor G. This
					new corridor (AC) presents better
					routing opportunities. Corridor G was
					constrained by numerous existing
					structures along CRs HH and GG.

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
Н	Along to CR $\overline{50}$ ,	9.5 miles	12 miles; mostly	Crosses Bonny Creek;	Corridor did not change.
	CR KK and US	adjacent to	private land,	includes approximately	
	385 in Kit	existing 115-	shrubland,	seven center pivot irrigation	
	Carson County	kV Line	grassland some	and areas under active	
			irrigated cropland,	cultivation; includes five oil	
			some State land	and gas wells; constrained	
				by the location of the	
				existing 115-kV line and	
				both Bonny Creek and	
				Hale/South Fork	
				Substations; includes state	
				land parcel associated with	
				South Republican SWA;	
				includes a microwave tower	
				just south of CR LL	
Ι	Along CR 52		14 miles; private	Includes some existing	The northeastern-most portion of
	and CR 53 in		land; mostly	center pivot irrigation and	Corridor I moved approximately 1.5
	Kit Carson		shrubland and	areas under active	miles to the east. Corridor I now
	County-and		grassland; some	cultivation; crosses Bonny	includes all of Section 26 and half of
	along CR KK in		irrigated cropland	Creek; includes 12 oil and	Section 25 (Township 5 South, Range 43
	Yuma County			gas wells	West). This shift was due to the
					elimination of Corridor P and to connect
					to Corridor Q. Overall, the corridor area
					increased.

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
J	3 miles along		6.5 miles; private	Includes approximately four	The western boundary of Corridor J
	CR CC and CR		land; mostly in	center pivot irrigation circles	moved 1.5 miles to the west. Corridor J
	DD, 3 miles		active cultivation	and areas under active	now includes Section 19 and parts of
	along to CR 56		and irrigated	cultivation; crosses upper	Sections 13, 18, and 24 (Township 7
	and CR 57 in		cropland	portion of Little Beaver	South, Range 42 West). The corridor
	Kit Carson			Creek; includes two oil and	was expanded to include north-south
	County			gas wells; appears to include	routing opportunities through Sections
				a pond or playa	13 and 24. Overall, the corridor area increased
K	Eliminated		10 1 1		Corridor K was eliminated. This small corridor was not useful in considering potential routes, because in this portion of the Study Area, routes will run north- south and there are no compelling reasons for cutting to the east or west in this area.
L	3 miles along		10 miles; mostly	Crosses Little Beaver Creek	Corridor did not change.
	CR 55; 6 miles		private land;	and Beaver Creek; includes	
	along CK 50; 4		mostly shrubland,	some state land parcels and	
	57: 3 miles		irrigated cropland	line on and gas wens	
	along CR 58 in		some State land		
	Kit Carson		Some State Juna		
	County				
	<b>-</b> J				

52

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
Μ	Eliminated				Corridor M was eliminated. This small
					corridor was not useful in considering
					potential routes, because in this portion
					of the Study Area, routes will run north-
					south and there are no compelling
					reasons for cutting to the east or west in
			ſ		this area.
Ν	3 miles along		6 miles; mostly	Crosses South Fork of	The eastern boundary of Corridor N
	CR 44 in Kit		private land;	Republican River at	moved approximately 1 mile to the east.
	Carson County		mostly shrubland,	confluence with Dry Gulch;	In most instances, the western boundary
	and 4 miles		grassland; some	includes portion of South	of Corridor N also moved approximately
	along CR AA in		irrigated cropland,	Republican SWA; includes	0.5-mile to the east. This overall shift to
	Yuma County		some State land	whitetail deer concentration	the east was made to avoid constraints in
				and bald eagle winter	the central portion of Corridor N, near
				concentration areas; wetland	the County Line, associated with the
				areas likely exist around the	location of the existing 115-kV line and
				South Fork of the	presence of pivot irrigation. The new
				Republican River; includes	alignment of Corridor N provides for
				three oil and gas well	routing opportunities though
					undeveloped grassland and shrubland.
					Overall, the corridor area increased
					slightly.

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
0	Along US 385	9.5 miles	9.5 miles; private	Crosses intermittent	Corridor O did not change.
	and CR GG in	adjacent to	and State land;	Landsman creek and	
	Yuma County	existing 115-	mostly irrigated	includes portions of	
		kV line	cropland; some	intermittent Armknecht	
			grassland/shrublan	Creek; crosses South Fork of	
			d; some State land	Republican River (area	
				includes bald eagle winter	
				concentration and wetlands),	
				and includes portions of the	
				South Republican SWA;	
				adjacent to western	
				boundary of Bonny Lake	
				State Park; corridor also	
				includes a section of State	
				land already crossed by	
				existing line; constrained by	
				the location of the existing	
				line and 61 existing oil &	
				gas wells; includes 10	
				existing center pivot	
				irrigation and areas under	
				active cultivation; includes	
				whitetail deer winter	
				concentration areas	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
Р	Eliminated				Corridor P was eliminated; however,
					some portions of what was Corridor P
					are now part of Corridor T. The
					southernmost portion of Corridor P was
					eliminated entirely, as it was constrained
					by the location of the Bonny Lake dam
					and existing structures. A route through
					this southernmost portion of Corridor P
					would have required crossing a large
					portion of the South Republican SWA.
Q	2 miles along		2 miles; private	Crosses intermittent portion	Corridor did not change.
	CR NN in Yuma		sand State land;	of Bonny Creek; includes	
	County		mostly	portion of State land section;	
			shrubland/grasslan	includes one oil and gas	
			d, some irrigated	well; includes whitetail deer	
			cropland	winter concentration areas	
R	3.5 miles along		4 miles; mostly	Crosses Bonny Creek and	Corridor did not change.
	CR QQ and 1		private land;	appears to contain some	
	mile along CR 3		shrubland,	wetland areas; includes $\frac{1}{4}$	
	in Yuma County		grassland, some	section of State land;	
			irrigated cropland,	includes two existing center	
			some State land	pivot irrigation circles;	
				includes whitetail deer	
				winter concentration area	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
S	3 miles east-		2 miles; private	Includes portion of South	The western boundary of Corridor S was
	west along CR		and State land;	Republican SWA; includes	moved approximately 1.5 miles to the
	CR 2 and CR 3;		mostly shrubland	whitetail deer concentration	east. Section 23 and the western portion
	2 miles north-		and grassland;	areas, includes several	of Section 24 (Township 5 South, Range
	west along CR		some cropland	intermittent streams	43 West) are now excluded from
	NN and PP in				Corridor S. This change was to
	Yuma County				accommodate changes to Corridor I.
					Overall, the corridor area decreased.
Т	5 miles along		8.5 miles; private	Crosses South Fork of	The western boundary of Corridor T
	CR PP in Yuma		land and State;	Republican River below	moved approximately 2 miles to the
	County		shrubland,	Bonny Lake dam, and	west. There was a previously excluded
			grassland, some	includes portions of South	portion of the Study Area located
			irrigated cropland	Republican SWA; includes	between Corridors P and T that is now
				some existing center pivot	included within Corridor T. Corridor P
				irrigation and areas under	was eliminated and Corridor T now
				active cultivation; includes	includes the previously excluded portion,
				intermittent portions of Sand	and most of what was formerly Corridor
				and Cowpe Creeks; includes	P. The elimination of Corridor P is
				whitetail deer concentration	described above. The expansion of
				areas; includes some woody	Corridor T provides increased routing
				wetlands; includes bald	opportunities in this area.
				eagle winter concentration	
				areas; includes 16 oil and	
				gas wells	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
U	2.5 miles north-		5 miles; mostly	Includes center pivot	The northeastern boundary of Corridor U
	south along CR		private land,	irrigation and areas under	in Sections 25 and 36 (Township 4
	AA; 2 miles		mostly cultivated	active cultivation; includes	South, Range 45 West) moved 0.5-mile
	east-west along		cropland; some	four existing oil and gas	to the east. In addition, the northwest
	CRs 5 and 6; 2.5		shrubland,	wells; includes <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> section of	quarter of Section 31 (Township 4 South,
	miles north-		grassland; some	state land; includes four oil	Range 44 West) is now included in
	south along CR;		irrigated cropland,	and gas wells	Corridor U. These additions were made
	2 miles north-		some State land		to include routing opportunities along
	south along CR				CR AA. Overall, the corridor area
	Y in Yuma				increased.
	County				
V	3 miles along		3 miles; private	Includes center pivot	The southern boundary of Corridor V
	CR BB in Yuma		land, mostly	irrigation and areas under	was moved approximately 1.5 miles to
	County		cultivated	active cultivation; includes	the south to include potential routing
			croplands	one oil and gas well	opportunities through undeveloped
					grassland/shrubland along CR BB.
W	4 miles east-		2 miles north-	Includes center pivot and	The eastern boundary of Corridor W
	west along CR		south and 4 miles	lateral irrigation systems	moved approximately 2.5 miles to the
	10; 2 miles		east-west; mostly		west. The eastern boundary of the
	north-south		private land in		corridor now runs north-south along CR
	along CRs Z,		cultivation and		Z. The eastern portion of the corridor
	AA, and BB in		irrigated cropland;		was constrained by the presence of a
	Yuma County		some State land;		cemetery, center pivots, existing
			some shrubland		structures, and other irrigated croplands.
			and grassland		Overall, the corridor area decreased.

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
X	Along CRs Y,		10 miles; mostly	Crosses Arikaree River, Hay	The western border of Corridor X moved
	Z, and AA in		private land,	Gulch, and Copperkettle	approximately 0.75-mile to the west.
	Yuma County		mostly grassland,	Creek; includes some areas	The eastern border of Corridor X moved
			some shrubland,	under active cultivation and	0.5-mile to the east. These changes
			some irrigated	88 oil and gas wells;	allow for increased routing opportunities
			cropland, some	includes some state lands;	in this area, which is mostly undeveloped
			State land	includes whitetail deer	grassland/shrubland. The expanded
				winter range and	for crossing the Arikaree River, Overall
				concentration areas	the corridor area increased
Y	Eliminated				Corridor Y was eliminated. The
_					northern portion of Corridor Y was
					highly constrained by the presence of
					irrigated cropland, center pivots, existing
					structures, and numerous oil and gas
					wells.
Z	11 miles along	11 miles	11 miles; mostly	Crosses intermittent sections	Corridor did not change.
	US 385 in Yuma	adjacent to	private land,	of Arikaree River includes	
	County	existing 115-	shrubland,	part of Sandy Bluffs State	
		kV line	grassland, some	Trust Lands; includes some	
			irrigated cropland,	areas under active	
			some state land	cultivation and some	
				existing center pivot	
				irrigation; includes 59 oil	
				and gas wells; includes	
				and concentration cross	
				and concentration areas	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
AA	Along CRs NN		11 miles; private	Crosses Arikaree River and	The eastern boundary of Corridor AA
	and MM in		and State land;	includes portion of Simmons	moved approximately 2 miles to the east
	Yuma County		irrigated cropland;	SWA; includes areas under	to include additional routing
			shrubland and	active cultivation; includes	opportunities in the northern portion of
			grassland	whitetail deer winter range	the corridor, outside the boundary of
				and concentration areas;	Simmons SWA. Overall, the corridor
				includes 32 oil and gas wells	area increased.
BB	6.5 miles along	1 mile	15 miles; mostly	Includes some areas under	The southernmost boundary of Corridor
	CR X; 4 miles	adjacent to	private land;	active cultivation, and a high	BB moved 0.5-mile to the north and now
	along CR Y, 4	existing 115-	mostly cultivate	density of existing center	ends along CR 20. The northwestern
	miles east-west	kV line	cropland and	pivot irrigation; includes 94	quarter of Section 27 that was previously
	along CR 30 in		grassland; some	oil and gas wells; includes a	part of Corridor BB is now included in
	Yuma		shrubland; some	portion of the North Fork	Corridor X (all of Section 27 is now
			State land	Republican River; includes	included in Corridor X). This change
				whitetail deer winter range	was made to better define the transition
				and concentration areas;	from Corridor X to BB. Overall, the
				includes a parcel owned by a	corridor area decreased slightly.
				landowner opposed to the	
				Project	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
CC	Along US 385;	3 miles of	6 miles; includes	Crosses portions of Black	The western portion of Corridor CC was
	east-west along	existing 115-	private land, land	Wolfe Creek, and has a high	eliminated given the multiple constraints
	CR 22, 23, 24,	kV line	in cultivation,	density of residences in the	associated with the town of Vernon, the
	25 and 20(E-W);	(north-south),	shruhland	by the location of the	of the existing 115 kV line. Vernon Ten
	miles north-	existing 115-	grassland and	existing line and existing	Substation and Vernon Substation
	south along CRs	kV line (east-	some state land	substations. 20 existing oil	Overall, the corridor area decreased.
	BB, CC, DD,	west)		and gas wells, existing	
	EE and FF in			center pivot irrigation, and	
	Yuma County			areas under active	
				cultivation; also includes	
				conservation easement lands	
				in 2S 4W, Sections 2 and 12;	
				includes and adjoins	
				residential and agricultural	
				landowner opposed to the	
				Project	
DD	Along CRs 23		4 miles; private	Includes some areas under	The northern boundary of Corridor DD
	and 24 in Yuma		land; irrigated	active cultivation; includes	was expanded approximately one mile to
	County		cropland;	65 oil and gas wells; much	the north. All of Sections 31-34
			shrubland and	of the corridor is within	(Township 1 South, Range 43 West) are
			grassland	whitetail deer winter range	now included in Corridor DD. Overall,
				and concentration areas	the corridor area increased to allow for potential routing opportunities north of CR 24.

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
EE	Along CR LL		8 miles; private	Includes some areas under	Corridor did not change.
	and MM in		land; mostly	active cultivation; much of	
	Yuma County		shrubland and	the corridor is within	
			grassland; some	whitetail deer winter range	
			irrigated cropland	and concentration areas;	
				includes several intermittent	
				streams; includes seven oil	
				and gas wells	
FF	Eliminated				Corridor FF was eliminated. The
					previous eastern boundary of Corridor
					FF is now the western boundary of new
					Corridor AD. Routing through Corridor
					FF was constrained by the presence of
CC	Alara CDa CC		4	In the day, one of the day of the	Several existing structures along CR PP.
66	Along CKs CC		4 miles; private	includes areas under active	Corridor did not change.
	and DD in Yuma County		and; and imgated	density of existing center	
	I unia County		cropiand	pivot irrigation: includes 12	
				oil and gas wells	
нн	Fast-west along		1 mile: private	Includes areas under active	Corridor did not change
	CR 27. north-		land: irrigated	cultivation with existing	Contaor did not change.
	south along CR		cronland	center pivot irrigation and	
	DD in Yuma		orophina	three oil and gas wells	
	County			and Sub wents	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
II	Along CR FF in	Along existing	2.5 miles; private	Includes areas under active	The southeastern boundary of Corridor II
	Yuma County	115-kV line	land; irrigated	cultivation, with several	moved approximately 1.5-mile to the
			cropland	existing center pivot	east to allow for potential routing
				irrigation; includes 19 oil	opportunities on both sides of U.S. 385.
				by the location of the	Overan, the contdor area increased.
				existing line and existing	
				substations and U.S. 385	
JJ	Along CR 27 in		4 miles; private	Includes areas under active	The eastern portion of Corridor JJ was
	Yuma County		land; irrigated	cultivation, with existing	highly constrained by the presence of
			cropland	center pivot irrigation;	structures and center pivots; therefore,
				crosses whitetail deer winter	the eastern boundary of Corridor JJ
				range and concentration area	moved approximately 2 miles to the
					west. Overall, the corridor area
VV	Along CD EE in	Along origing	2.5 miles, private	Entine and under estive	decreased.
KK	Along CK FF in Yuma County	Along existing	2.5 miles; private	cultivation with a high	Corridor did not change.
	I unia County	TTJ-KV IIIC	cropland	density of existing center	
			eropland	pivot irrigation: constrained	
				by the location of the	
				existing line; includes three	
				oil and gas wells	
LL	North-south	2 miles along	2 miles; private	Includes areas under active	Corridor did not change.
	along CRs DD,	existing 115-	land; irrigated	cultivation; constrained by	
	EE, and FF;	kV line	cropland	the location of the existing	
	east-west along			line; includes six oil and gas	
	CR EE 1n Yuma			wells	
	County				

January 2012

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
MM	North-south		3 miles; private	Includes areas under active	The western boundary of Corridor MM
	along CRs MM		land in cultivation;	cultivation, with several	was moved approximately 2 miles to the
	and PP; east-		grassland	existing center pivot	west and now parallels CR KK in order
	west along CRs			irrigation; includes a hospital	to include a potential routing opportunity
	31, 32, and 33 in			and microwave tower along	along CR KK. The eastern boundary of
	Yuma County			CR PP; includes 19 oil and	Corridor MM was moved approximately
				gas wells	1.75 miles to the west, and all of
					Sections 25 and 36 (Township 1 North,
					Range 42 West) are now excluded.
					Overall, the corridor area remained
					approximately the same.
NN	Along CRs DD		1 mile; private	Includes five oil and gas	The eastern boundary of Corridor NN
	and EE in Yuma		land; grassland;	wells; areas under active	was moved approximately 0.5-mile to
	County		cultivated	cultivation; includes	the east and now includes the western
			cropland	whitetail deer winter range	half and southern half of Section 22
				and concentration area	(Township 1 North, Range 44 West).
					Overall, the corridor area increased.
00	East-west along	2 miles of	2 miles; private	Includes areas under active	The southern quarter of Section 22
	CRs 32 and 33,	existing	land, grassland	cultivation; includes	(Township 1 North, Range 44 West) in
	and FF in Yuma	115kV line is	and cultivated	whitetail deer winter range	Corridor OO was moved into Corridor
	County	within	cropland	and concentration area;	NN to follow parcel lines. Overall, the
		corridor		constrained by the location	area of Corridor OO decreased slightly.
				of the existing line; includes	
				portion of a pipeline based	
				on aerial photography	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
PP	Along CRs 32		3.5 miles; private	Several structures exist	Corridor did not change.
	and 33 in Yuma		land; cultivated	along CRs 32 and 33;	
	County		cropland	includes ten oil and gas	
				wells	
QQ	Along CRs DD		3.5 miles; includes	Corridor is small and highly	Eastern boundary of Corridor QQ was
	and DD.75 in		mostly private	constrained; includes areas	moved approximately 1 mile to the east
	Yuma County		land; shrubland,	under active cultivation,	to include a potential routing opportunity
			grassland,	with center pivot irrigation,	east of CR EE.
			cultivated	and an animal feed lots;	
			cropland	crosses whitetail deer winter	
				range and concentration	
				area; numerous residences	
				along CR DD.75; crosses	
				North Fork Republican	
				River and Chief Creek;	
				BNSF railroad bisects the	
				northern portion of the	
				corridor; includes nine oil	
				and gas wells	
Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
----------	-------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
RR	Along BNSF	Follows	3.5 miles; includes	Highly constrained corridor;	The southern portion of Corridor RR was
	Rail; along US	existing 115-	the Wray	constrained by the location	highly constrained by existing structures
	34; along CR FF	kV and	Substation; private	of the existing lines around	and the location of the existing 115-kV
	in Yuma County	existing 230-	and State land;	the Wray Substation and	transmission line. The southern
		kV line	shrubland,	access to Wray Substation;	boundary of Corridor RR was moved
			grassland	includes Stalker Lake SWA;	approximately 1.5 miles to the north to
				includes whitetail deer	remove this constrained area from
				winter range and small	consideration. Overall, the corridor area
				portion of Greater Prairie	decreased.
				Chicken Production Area;	
				includes high-density	
				residential development	
				along CR FF and West 7 <sup>th</sup>	
				Street	
SS	Along CR 38	1.5 miles of	6.5 miles; private	Constrained by the location	The northern boundary of Corridor SS
	and 39 in Yuma	existing 230	land; shrubland,	of the existing line; includes	was moved approximately 1 mile to the
	County	kV line is	grassland, and	whitetail deer winter range;	north to include a potential routing
		within	some cultivated	entire corridor is within	opportunity just south of CR 40.
		corridor	cropland	Greater Prairie Chicken	Overall, the corridor area was increased.
				Production Area; includes	
				one oil and gas well	

TTAlong CR MM; 1 mile east-west along the BNSF railroad/US 346 miles; private land; shrubland, grassland, and areas in active cultivation and irrigated croplandCrosses US 34, the BNSF railroad, the North Fork Republican river, the existing 115 kV line; in Yuma CountyThe northeastern-most boundary at the northern edge of Corridor TT was moved 1 mile to the east; all of Section 28 (Township 2 North, Range 43 West) is now included in the corridor. Overall, the corridor area increased slightly to concentration area; northernmost area may include a small portion ofItem on the corridor area increased slightly to allow for routing opportunities along section lines.	Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
1 mile east-west along the BNSF railroad/US 34 in Yuma Countyland; shrubland, grassland, and areas in activerailroad, the North Fork Republican river, the existing 115 kV line; includes whitetail deernorthern edge of Corridor TT was moved 1 mile to the east; all of Section 28 (Township 2 North, Range 43 West) is now included in the corridor. Overall, the corridor area increased slightly to concentration area; northernmost area may include a small portion ofnorthern edge of Corridor TT was moved 1 mile to the east; all of Section 28 (Township 2 North, Range 43 West) is now included in the corridor. Overall, the corridor area increased slightly to allow for routing opportunities along section lines.	TT	Along CR MM;		6 miles; private	Crosses US 34, the BNSF	The northeastern-most boundary at the
along the BNSF railroad/US 34 in Yuma Countygrassland, and areas in activeRepublican river, the existing 115 kV line;1 mile to the east; all of Section 28 (Township 2 North, Range 43 West) is now included in the corridor. Overall, the corridor area increased slightly to concentration area;along the BNSF railroad/US 34 in Yuma Countygrassland, and areas in active cultivation and irrigated croplandRepublican river, the existing 115 kV line;1 mile to the east; all of Section 28 (Township 2 North, Range 43 West) is now included in the corridor. Overall, the corridor area increased slightly to concentration area;allow for routing opportunities along northernmost area may include a small portion ofsection lines.		1 mile east-west		land; shrubland,	railroad, the North Fork	northern edge of Corridor TT was moved
railroad/US 34 in Yuma Countyareas in active cultivation and irrigated croplandexisting 115 kV line; includes whitetail deer(Township 2 North, Range 43 West) is now included in the corridor. Overall, the corridor area increased slightly to allow for routing opportunities along northernmost area may include a small portion of		along the BNSF		grassland, and	Republican river, the	1 mile to the east; all of Section 28
in Yuma Countycultivation and irrigated croplandincludes whitetail deernow included in the corridor. Overall, the corridor area increased slightly to allow for routing opportunities along section lines.Image: Content of the corridor area increased slightly to include a small portion ofinclude a small portion ofinclude a small portion of		railroad/US 34		areas in active	existing 115 kV line;	(Township 2 North, Range 43 West) is
irrigated croplandwinter range and concentration area; northernmost area may include a small portion ofthe corridor area increased slightly to allow for routing opportunities along section lines.		in Yuma County		cultivation and	includes whitetail deer	now included in the corridor. Overall,
concentration area;allow for routing opportunities alongnorthernmost area maysection lines.include a small portion ofinclude a small portion of				irrigated cropland	winter range and	the corridor area increased slightly to
northernmost area maysection lines.include a small portion ofinclude a small portion of					concentration area;	allow for routing opportunities along
include a small portion of					northernmost area may	section lines.
					include a small portion of	
Greater Prairie Chicken					Greater Prairie Chicken	
Production Area					Production Area	
UUNorth-south9.5 miles; privateIncludes areas under activeThe northern boundary of Corridor UU	UU	North-south		9.5 miles; private	Includes areas under active	The northern boundary of Corridor UU
along CR QQ land; mostly cultivation, with existing was moved approximately 1 mile to the		along CR QQ		land; mostly	cultivation, with existing	was moved approximately 1 mile to the
and east-west shrubland, center pivot irrigation; north to include a potential routing		and east-west		shrubland,	center pivot irrigation;	north to include a potential routing
along CRs 38 grassland; some crosses US 34, the BNSF opportunity just south of CR 40.		along CRs 38		grassland; some	crosses US 34, the BNSF	opportunity just south of CR 40.
and 39 in Yuma irrigated cropland rail, and the North Fork Overall, the corridor area was increased.		and 39 in Yuma		irrigated cropland	rail, and the North Fork	Overall, the corridor area was increased.
County Republican river; includes		County			Republican river; includes	
whitetail deer winter range					whitetail deer winter range	
and concentration area;					and concentration area;	
includes Rush Creek;					includes Rush Creek;	
includes five off and gas					menues nive on and gas	
weits; almost entire corridor					wens; annost entire corridor	
Chicken Production Area					Chicken Production Area	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
VV	Along CR 10 in	1.5 miles of	4 miles; private	Includes several center pivot	The western portion of Corridor VV was
	Yuma County	existing 115-	land; active	irrigation systems and lateral	eliminated due to the concentration of
		kV line is	cultivation and	sprinkler systems;	mechanically irrigated cropland in this
		within	irrigated cropland	constrained by the location	area just north of Idalia. In addition,
		corridor		of the existing line and	Corridor Y was eliminated, so the
				access around Idalia	western portion of Corridor VV is no
				substation; includes oil and	longer necessary to connect to the former
				gas wells and a cemetery;	Corridor Y. Overall, the corridor area
				includes eight oil and gas	decreased.
				wells	
WW	Along CR BB in		2.0 miles; private	Includes approximately three	Corridor WW was added north of
	Yuma County		land; active	center pivots and other	Corridor V to include potential routing
			cultivation and	irrigated cropland	opportunities along CR BB.
			irrigated cropland		
XX	Along CRs CC		2.7 miles; mostly	Includes 29 oil and gas	Corridor XX was added to include
	and DD in		private land;	wells; several structures	potential routing opportunity along CR
	Yuma County		includes	exist along CR DD	CC.
			shrubland,		
			grassland, and		
			cultivated		
			cropland; includes		
			some State land		

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
YY	Along CR BB		6.3 miles; mostly	Includes four oil and gas	Corridor YY was added to include
	(north-south)		private land; some	wells and some irrigated	potential routing opportunities east-west
	and CR 14 (east-		state land; mostly	cropland	through undeveloped land along CR 14
	west) in Yuma		undeveloped		and north-south through undeveloped
	County		grassland and		land in Sections 29 and 32 (Township 3
			shrubland; some		South, Range 44 West) and Sections 5
			irrigated cropland		and 8 (Township 4 South, Range 44
					West).
ZZ	East-west along		5.8 miles; private	Includes center pivots and	Corridor ZZ was added to include north-
	CR Z and north-		land; mostly	fouroil and gas wells	south routing opportunities in the
	south along CRs		cultivated and		easternmost portion of the Study Area,
	56 and 57 in		irrigated cropland		for example, along CRs 56 and 57.
	Yuma County				
AB	Along CR 54		2.5 miles; private	Includes an intermittent	Corridor AB was added to incorporate
	(north-south)		land; undeveloped	portion of Beaver Creek and	routing opportunities between Corridors
	and along CRs		grassland	small cluster of existing	I and L.
	LL and MM			structures along CR 55.5	
	(east-west)				
AC	Along CR DD		2.5 miles; mostly	Includes a small portion of	Corridor AC was added south of the
	(east-west) and		private land;	state land and seven center	previous location of Corridor G (which
	CR 48		mostly cultivated	pivots and other cultivated	was eliminated). Corridor AC presents
			and irrigated	cropland; includes an	better routing opportunities.
			cropland	intermittent portion of	
				Bonny Creek	

Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transportation Corridor	Opportunity Along Existing Transmission Line	General Description	Special Considerations	Modification to Corridor After the September, 2011 Public Informational Meetings
AD	Along CRs RR		9 miles; mostly	Includes intermittent	Corridor AD was added to allow for a
	and QQ in		private land;	portions of Horse Creek and	long north-south routing opportunity
	Yuma County		some state land;	Dry Willow Creek; crosses	through mostly undeveloped land in the
			mostly	the Arikaree River; includes	easternmost portion of the Study Area.
			undeveloped	eight oil and gas wells	This corridor also provides another
			grassland/shrublan		option for a crossing of the Arikaree
			d with some small		River (the other option in the eastern
			areas of cropland		Study Area is within Corridor AA).
AE	Along CRs 34		1.5 miles; private	Includes an intermittent	Corridor AE was added to provide a
	and 35 in Yuma		land; undeveloped	stream associated with the	possible link from Corridor AD
	County		shrubland/grasslan	North Fork Republican	westward to Corridor TT.
			d; some cropland	River; includes some	
				cropland; includes three oil	
				and gas wells	



Project Iransmission 230-kV n-Wray

# Burlingto

This page left intentionally blank.

72



Project 230-kV Transmission n-Wray

This page left intentionally blank.

74

# **3.0 FUTURE ACTIVITIES**

# 3.1 ROUTE IDENTIFICATION AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

A desktop analysis to assess and quantify impacts to resources from each of the preliminary alternative corridors will be conducted. This analysis will be used to identify specific alternative routes within each of the corridors. This process allows for the quantification of Project-related impacts associated with each potential route. Potential routes will need to meet the Project objectives, which require that the routes:

- Connect the Burlington and Wray Substations
- Are compatible with the existing electric systems
- Maximize opportunities and minimize constraints
- Are compatible with existing land uses
- Are cost-effective

The route refinement process will involve quantification and comparison of the environmental impacts that are anticipated as a result of the implementation of the Project. Potential routes will be analyzed on a segment-by-segment basis using routing criteria developed through the public/agency consultation process. These criteria will expand upon the opportunity and constraints criteria used to identify preliminary corridors. For each of the routing criteria, segment impacts will be quantified to allow for easy comparison. Impacts associated with route alternatives will then be totaled and rank will be assigned to each route , with "1" representing the least impact and a higher number (depending on the number of route alternatives considered) representing the most impact. An alternative route's ranking will reflect the relative impact that a given route alternative has upon resources compared to the impacts of the other route alternatives.

# 3.2 FIELD RECONNAISSANCE AND IDENTIFICATION OF ROUTE-SPECIFIC CONSTRAINTS

Preliminary routes will be presented in second series of public meetings and will be analyzed in detail during the NEPA process. Field reconnaissance will be conducted on the ground and by helicopter, if necessary, after the second public meeting during the resource quantification and route refinement process. Ultimately, a preferred and at least one alternative route will be selected for further analysis. The routes that are carried forward for final analysis will represent a rational balance between the need for reliable electric service with potential environmental impacts, public acceptance, engineering considerations, economics, regulatory requirements, and land use.

Additional route-specific constraints will include identifying and mapping floodplains and specific information on soils and wildlife habitat that could influence routing decisions. In addition, as the process continues, surveys for threatened and endangered species, as well as cultural resources surveys will be conducted.

# 3.3 PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

Public and stakeholder involvement and Project communication will be integral to the evaluation of the identified corridors, the identification and refinement of routes, and the selection of a preferred and alternative route for detailed environmental analysis. Information regarding the Project will be available on Tri-State's Web site (http://www.tristategt.org/Transmission/Burlington-Wray.cfm) and will be updated as progress occurs.

The public involvement process will include public scoping workshops that will begin the formal NEPA process. At these workshops, hosted by RUS, Tri-State will present the revised corridors and preliminary routes to the public and RUS will solicit input regarding issues of concern. This outreach will assist in refining the alternatives and help determine the level of analysis necessary to address the issues relevant to the proposed Project. Public input will continue to be a part of the Project through the NEPA process and the development of the NEPA document for the Project.

Stakeholders are those people and organizations that may be affected or have some interest in the Project. Potential stakeholders for the Project identified to date include:

- Businesses, residents, and property owners along the preliminary alternative corridors
- Mineral rights owners
- Cities of Wray and Burlington
- Counties of Kit Carson and Yuma
- USFWS
- Colorado Division of Wildlife
- Colorado Department of Transportation
- Alternative energy developers and providers
- Colorado State House Representative Jon Becker (District 63)
- Colorado State Senator Greg Brophy (District 1)
- U.S. Senators Mark Udall and Michael Bennet
- U.S. Representative Cory Gardner (District 4)

# 3.4 PERMITS AND APPROVALS

Table 3-1 lists major permits, approvals and consultations that may be required for the Project.

# TABLE 3-1 MAJOR PERMITS, APPROVALS, AND CONSULTATIONS FOR THE PROJECT

Agency	Permit/Approval/Consultation			
FEDERAL				
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)	If necessary, ACHP will comment on the project and its effect on historic properties under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)			
FEMA	Standard Flood Hazard Determination			
USACE	Authorization to discharge dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S. under Section 404, Clean Water Act, under Individual Permit or NWPs 12, 25, and 33			
U.S. Department of the Interior, USFWS	Consultation regarding compliance with Sections 7 or 10 of the ESA, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act			
FAA	Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration (Form 7460-1)			
STATE				
PUC	CPCN (obtained January 14, 2011)			
СДРНЕ	Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit			
State Land Board	Commercial Lease Application for ROW crossing of state-owned property			
Colorado Office of Archeology and Historic Preservation	Review and comment on undertakings potentially affecting cultural resources (Section 106, NHPA)			
CDOW	State-listed threatened and endangered species consultations			
CDOT	Utility Special Use Permit - Road and highway crossing permits			
	COUNTIES - Yuma and Kit Carson			
Yuma County	Major Land Use Permit			
Kit Carson County	Land Use (Development) Permit			

# 3.5 NEPA PROCESS

As part of the environmental review for the Project, it is expected than an Environmental Assessment (EA) with Scoping will be prepared for this Project to satisfy RUS requirement for environmental pursuant to NEPA and its implementing regulations. The EA also will be prepared per RUS Bulletins 1794-A-601 and 1794A-603. Specifically, the EA will include descriptions of the Project, the need for the Project, alternatives evaluated, the affected natural and human environments, potential environmental impacts, and recommended measures to mitigate anticipated impacts. Public scoping meetings are expected to be held at the beginning of the NEPA process and continued outreach to Project stakeholders will occur as part of the EA process. Public comments received will be considered as part of the EA analysis, including recommendations for short- and long-term Project mitigation.

# 4.0 MEETINGS AND CONSULTATIONS HELD TO DATE

To date, two public Informational Open House meetings were held on September 20 and 21, 2011, to initiate public involvement in the Project. Informal conversations, consultations and update meetings also have been held with Yuma and Kit Carson Counties and wildlife biologists with CDOW.

# 5.0 **REFERENCES**

- Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment [CDPHE]. 2011. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/gis/</u> (accessed November 1, 2011).
- Colorado Division of Wildlife [CDOW]. 2008. Recommended Buffer Zones and Seasonal Restrictions for Colorado Raptors. Denver, CO.
- CDOW. 2011. Natural Diversity Information Source FTP Server. Available at http://ndis.nrel.colostate.edu/ftp/ftp\_response.asp. Updated October 20, 2011.
- Colorado GenWeb. 2011. *Publicly-mapped Cemeteries* [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://cogenweb.com/</u> (accessed November 1, 2011).
- Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. 2011. *Petroleum Oil and Gas Development GIS* [Online]. Available at: <u>http://cogcc.state.co.us/</u> (accessed November 1, 2011).
- Federal Aviation Administration [FAA]. 2011. *Airport Locations* [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://www.faa.gov</u> (accessed November 1, 2011).
- Federal Communications Commission [FCC]. 2011. Licensing Database Extracts [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://wireless.fcc.gov/geographic/index.htm?job=licensing\_database\_extracts</u> (accessed July 2011).
- National Park Service [NPS]. 2011. *National Register of Historic Places* [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://nrhp.focus.nps.gov/natreg/docs/All\_Data.html</u> (accessed July 2011).
- Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS]. 2011. 2011 National Agriculture Imagery Program, County Mosaics [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov</u> (accessed November 1, 2011).
- United States Geological Survey [USGS]. 2011a. National Hydrography Dataset [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://nhd.usgs.gov/</u> (accessed November 1, 2011).
- USGS. 2011b. Seamless Data Warehouse [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://seamless.usgs.gov/</u> (accessed November 1, 2011).
- USGS-National Land Cover Dataset [USGS-NLCD]. 2000. National Land Cover Dataset [On-line]. Available at: <u>http://egsc.usgs.gov/isb/pubs/factsheets/fs10800.html</u> (last accessed November 1, 2011).

APPENDIX A

**GIS DATA SOURCES** 

GIS DATA SOURCES					
Opportunity or Constraint	Sources / Agency	URL Location/Source	Age of Data		
BACKGROUND MAPPING		•			
Aerial Photography					
2011 National Agriculture Imagery Program, County Mosaics	USDA-NRCS	http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov	2011		
Topographic Maps					
1:24,000 Scale Topographic Maps	United States Geological Survey (USGS)	http://datagateway.nrcs.usda.gov	Various		
ROUTING OPPORTUNITIES		• •			
Existing Infrastructure					
Existing Transmission Lines	Tri-State	Shapefiles	2011		
Existing Highways/Public Roadways (Federal, State, Public)	Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT)	http://apps.coloradodot.info/dataaccess/	2011		
Existing Railroads	CDOT	http://apps.coloradodot.info/dataaccess/	2006		
Property/Tract Lines	County Tax Offices		2011		
ROUTING CONSTRAINTS					
Land Management					
	USGS	http://www.nationalatlas.gov/atlasftp.html?openChapters=chpbound%2C chppeopl#chppeopl	2009		
Federal Lands - National Parks, National Recreation Areas, National Monuments, National Forests, National Wildlife Refuges, National Wild and Scenic Rivers, National Scenic Trails and Roadways	National Park Service (NPS) Tri-State Tri-State	http://www.nps.gov/hfc/carto/nps-trails.htm# Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance Public Open Houses and Agency/ non-governmental organization (NGO) consultation	2008 2009 2009		
State Lands – State Parks, State Recreation Areas, State Forests, State Wildlife Refuges, State Scenic Trails and Roadways	Colorado Department of Natural Resources (CDNR) State Land Board	http://parks.state.co.us/NATURALRESOURCES/PARKSRESOURCES TEWARDSHIP/GIS/Pages/GIS.aspx http://trustlands.state.co.us/MapsandData/Pages/MapsAndData.aspx	2011 2011		
	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance Public Open Houses and Agency/NGO consultation	2011		

GIS DATA SOURCES					
Opportunity or Constraint	Sources / Agency	URL Location/Source	Age of Data		
County/Group/Club/Church – Parks, Recreation Areas, Forests, Refuges, Private Conservation Lands	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance Public Open Houses and Agency/NGO consultation	2011 2011		
Special Land Uses					
Municipal Boundaries (Urban Areas)	CDOT	http://apps.coloradodot.info/dataaccess/	2010		
Residential Structures	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011		
Commercial/Industrial/Business Structures	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011		
Churches	USGS-Geographic Names Information System (GNIS)	http://seamless.usgs.gov/	2009		
Hospitals	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) GIS	http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/gis/	2011		
Nursing Homes	CDPHE	http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/gis/	2011		
Schools	USGS-GNIS	http://seamless.usgs.gov/	2011		
Other Structures Inhabited or Intended to be Inhabited	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011		
Commercial/Industrial Areas	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011		
Petroleum Oil and Gas Development	Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission	http://cogcc.state.co.us/	2011		
Existing energy Development (including wind energy)	Tri-State	Wind Energy Developer Provided	2010		
Electronic Installations					
Commercial Amplitude Modulation (AM) Radio Transmitters	FCC Tri-State	http://wireless.fcc.gov/geographic/index.htm?job=licensing_database_ext racts Field reconnaissance	0523/2011 2011		
Frequency Modulation (FM) Radio Transmitters	FCC Tri-State	http://wireless.fcc.gov/geographic/index.htm?job=licensing_database_ext racts Field reconnaissance	0523/2011 2011		
Microwave Relay Stations	FCC Tri-State	http://wireless.fcc.gov/geographic/index.htm?job=licensing_database_ext racts Field reconnaissance	0523/2011 2011		
Other Electronic Installations	FCC Tri-State	http://wireless.fcc.gov/geographic/index.htm?job=licensing_database_ext racts Field reconnaissance	0523/2011 2011		

GIS DATA SOURCES						
Opportunity or Constraint	Sources / Agency	URL Location/Source	Age of Data			
Airstrips						
Private Airstrips within 10,000 Feet	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011			
FAA-registered Airports within 20,000 Feet	FAA	FAA Microsoft Excel database incorporated into GIS Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011 2011			
Heliports within 5,000 Feet	FAA	FAA MS Excel database incorporated into GIS Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011 2011			
Agricultural Land						
Agricultural Cropland (without Traveling Irrigation Systems)	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011			
Agricultural Cropland with Traveling Irrigation Systems	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011			
Agricultural Rangeland/Pasture	Tri-State	Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance	2011			
Prime Farmland, and Prime when irrigated	NRCS, United States Department of Agriculture.	http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov	2011			
Historical and Archaeological Sites						
Publicly-mapped Cemeteries within 1,000 Feet	USGS-GNIS	GNIS, <u>http://geonames.usgs.gov/domestic/download_data.htm</u> Colorado GenWeb, <u>http://cogenweb.com/</u>	2011			
Identified Historical/Archeological sites	National Park Service National Register Information System (NRIS) database and National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)	Data Interpretation	2011			
Environmentally Sensitive Areas						
Rivers and Streams	USGS	http://nhd.usgs.gov/	2011			
NRCS Wetlands Reserve Program Easements	USDA-NRCS	GIS data provided by NRCS via e-mail	2011			
Open Water (Reservoirs, Lakes, Ponds)	USGS	http://nhd.usgs.gov/	2011			
Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) 100-year Floodplains	FEMA	http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/FemaWelcomeView?store Id=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1	Various			
Scrub-Shrub and/or Emergent Wetlands	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) - National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Maps	http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html	2011			
Bottomland Forest and/or Forested Wetlands	USFWS-NWI Maps	http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html	2011			

GIS DATA SOURCES						
Opportunity or Constraint	Sources / Agency	URL Location/Source	Age of Data			
Protected Species Records	CDOW	http://ndis.nrel.colostate.edu/ftp/ftp_response.asp				
Potential Conservation Area (PCA)	Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) PCAs	http://www.cnhp.colostate.edu/download/gis.asp	2011			